

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
9
10 **AT SEATTLE**

11 **RAMON MADRID,**) Case No.
12)
13 Plaintiff,) **COMPLAINT**
14)
15 vs.)
16)
17 **BISHOP, WHITE & MARSHALL,**) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
18 **P.S.,**)
19)
20 Defendant.)

21 **I. NATURE OF ACTION**

22 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for
23 Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §
24 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA") and of the Revised Code of Washington,
25 Chapter 19.16, both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive,
26 deceptive, and unfair practices. Plaintiff further alleges a claim for invasion of
27 privacy by intrusion, ancillary to Defendant's collection efforts.

28 Complaint - 1

Jon N. Robbins
WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC
3877 N. Deer Lake Rd.
Loon Lake ,WA 99148
509-232-1882
866-565-1327 facsimile
jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

III. PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Ramon Madrid, is a natural person residing in the State of Washington, County of King, and City of Mill Creek.

4. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and a “debtor” as defined by RCW § 19.16.100(11).

5. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, Bishop, White & Marshall, P.S., (“Defendant”) was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a “debt” from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).

6. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and a “licensee,” as defined by RCW § 19.16.100(9).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt. Defendant’s conduct violated the FDCPA and RCW § 19.16 in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a. Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5));
- b. Without having received the prior consent of Plaintiff or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, and without it being necessary to effect a post-judgment remedy, communicating with a third party other than in the manner prescribed by 15 USC § 1692b (§ 1692c(b));
- c. In connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff, contacting a third party for purposes other than obtaining location information (§ 1692b & § 1692c(b));
- d. Communicating with a single third party more than once in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff (§ 1692b(3) & § 1692c(b)).

9. Defendant's aforementioned activities, set out in paragraph 8, also constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiff's private places and into private matters of Plaintiff's life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. With respect to the setting that was the target of Defendant's intrusions, Plaintiff had a subjective expectation of privacy that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.

10. As a result of Defendant's behavior, detailed above, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

11. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF WASHINGTON COLLECTION AGENCY ACT, WHICH IS A PER SE VIOLATION OF THE WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- B. Actual damages;
- C. Discretionary Treble Damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- F. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
- G.

COUNT III: COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION

13. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages
- B. Punitive Damages; and,
- C. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of December, 2008.

s/Jon N. Robbins
Jon N. Robbins
WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC
Attorney for Plaintiff